FILED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
11/3/2021 4:21 PM
BY ERIN L. LENNON
CLERK

Sup. Ct. No. 99330-1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

V.

BRANDON BACKSTROM,

Petitioner.

SUPPLMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR REVIEW

Suzanne Lee Elliott, WSBA # 12634
Washington Appellate Project 1511 Third Avenue, Suite 610
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 587-2711
Suzanne@washapp.org

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. St	JPPLEMENTAL FACTS1
II.	SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT WHY REVIEW SHOULD BE
	ACCEPTED1
	1. IS A MINIMUM TERM OF 42 YEARS A DE FACTO
	UNCONSTITUTIONAL LIFE SENTENCE FOR MR.
	BACKSTROM?1
	2. DID THE COURT OF APPEALS ERR WHEN IT
	CONCLUDED THAT THE TRIAL JUDGE DID NOT
	ABUSED HER DISCRETION IN SETTING THE
	MINIMUM TERM AT 42 YEARS?2
III.	CONCLUSION3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

State v.	Bassett 1	192 Wn.2d 67, 91, 428 P.3d 343 (2018)	1
State v.	Haag, -	Wn.2d, 495 P.3d 241 (2021)	2
State v.	Ramos.	187 Wn.2d 420, 437-39, 387 P.3d 650 (2017)	2

I. SUPPLEMENTAL FACTS

On December 17, 2020, Mr. Backstrom filed this Petition for Review and raised two issues: 1. Is a sentence of 42 years for a juvenile defendant convicted in adult court an unconstitutional de facto life sentence? 2. Did the sentencing judge abuse her discretion when she failed to fully acknowledge the forward looking evidence of Brandon's capacity for change and instead gave great weight to the "horrific" nature of the murders?

On April 7, 2021, this Court stayed consideration of the Petition pending the decision in *State v. Haag*, - Wn.2d. -, 495 P.3d 241 (2021).*Haag* was decided on September 23, 2021 and this Court lifted the stay. Mr. Backstrom's Petition is now set for consideration on January 4, 2022.

II. SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT WHY REVIEW SHOULD BE ACCEPTED

1. IS A MINIMUM TERM OF 42 YEARS A DE FACTO UNCONSTITUTIONAL LIFE SENTENCE FOR BRANDON?

In *State v. Bassett* 192 Wn.2d 67, 91, 428 P.3d 343 (2018), this Court held that a sentence of life without parole for a juvenile offender was unconstitutional under article I, section 14 of the Washington Constitution.

The Court noted that this rule "applies not only to literal juvenile life without parole sentences but also to de facto juvenile life without parole sentences."

Id. at 81 (citing *State v. Ramos*, 187 Wn.2d 420, 437-39, 387 P.3d 650 (2017)).

In *Haag*, this Court did not provide a bright line rule for how long a sentence must be to be deemed a de facto life sentence. However, the Court held a 46-year sentence constituted a de facto life sentence for a juvenile offender because it leaves the incarcerated individual without a meaningful life outside of prison. Therefore, the sentence violated article I, section 14 and the Eighth Amendment.

Although Mr. Backstrom's sentence is 4 years shorter than Mr. Haag's, that small difference is inconsequential. *Haag* renders Backstrom's sentence unconstitutional.

2. DID THE COURT OF APPEALS ERR WHEN IT CONCLUDED THAT THE TRIAL JUDGE DID NOT ABUSED HER DISCRETION IN SETTING THE MINIMUM TERM AT 42 YEARS?

Haag also provides some guidance on this issue as well. In Haag, the Court pointed out that even when the resentencing court considered youth, it primarily focused on the youth of the victim . . . and not on Haag's youth at the time of the offense. 495 P.3d at 248. Here, rather than acknowledging that the case for retribution was a weak or peripheral concern, the sentencing court

elevated the "horrific" nature of the crime to a determining factor. This was an abuse of discretion.

III. CONCLUSION

In light of *Haag*, Mr. Backstrom's 42 year sentence is unconstitutional. This Court should grant review and summarily remand this matter to the trial court for resentencing

I certify this Supplemental Brief contains 564 words as counted by MS Word.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2nd day of November 2021.

/s/Suzanne Lee Elliott

Suzanne Lee Elliott, WSBA #12634 Attorney for Brian Backstrom

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON, Respondent,))))	NO. 9	NO. 99330-1	
	BRANDON BACKSTROM,)			
	Petitioner.)			
	DECLARATION OF DOCUM	ENT FILI	ING AI	ND SERVICE	
THE WAS	ARIA ARRANZA RILEY, STATE THAT ON 'ORIGINAL SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF HINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT VED ON THE FOLLOWING IN THE MANI	OF PET AND A T	ITIONI Rue co	E <u>R</u> TO BE FILED IN THE OPY OF THE SAME TO BE	
[X]	KATHLEEN WEBBER, DPA [kwebber@co.snohomish.wa.us] SNOHOMISH COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S [Diane.Kremenich@co.snohomish.wa.us] 3000 ROCKEFELLER EVERETT, WA 98201	S OFFICE	() () (X)	U.S. MAIL HAND DELIVERY E-SERVICE VIA PORTAL	
SIGN	NED IN SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, THIS	3 RD DAY OF	NOVE	MBER, 2021.	
X	Gont				

WASHINGTON APPELLATE PROJECT

November 03, 2021 - 4:21 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court

Appellate Court Case Number: 99330-1

Appellate Court Case Title: State of Washington v. Brandon Dale Backstrom

Superior Court Case Number: 97-1-01993-6

The following documents have been uploaded:

993301_Briefs_20211103162010SC425030_5288.pdf

This File Contains:

Briefs - Petitioners Supplemental

The Original File Name was washapp.110321-02.pdf

993301_Motion_20211103162010SC425030_0222.pdf

This File Contains:

Motion 1 - Supplemental Brief

The Original File Name was washapp.110321-01.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- Diane.Kremenich@co.snohomish.wa.us
- diane.kremenich@snoco.org
- karim@suzanneelliottlaw.com
- kwebber@co.snohomish.wa.us
- nancy@washapp.org
- sfine@snoco.org

Comments:

Sender Name: MARIA RILEY - Email: maria@washapp.org

Filing on Behalf of: Suzanne Lee Elliott - Email: suzanne@washapp.org (Alternate Email:

wapofficemail@washapp.org)

Address:

1511 3RD AVE STE 610 SEATTLE, WA, 98101 Phone: (206) 587-2711

Note: The Filing Id is 20211103162010SC425030